UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,929	10/03/2005	Miguel Lancho Doncel	U 15798-8	6577
140 LADAS & PAR	7590 05/27/201 RRY LLP	EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61ST	STREET	STERLING, AMY JO		
NEW YORK, N	NI 10023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

function is assumed identical

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/536,929	LANCHO DONCEL, MIGUEL Art Unit		
AMY J. STERLING	3632		
	-	<del>-</del>	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Revi	iew filed 24 Mar	ch 2010.				
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Representation</li> </ol>	Request is improper an	d a conference	will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment i☐ Other:	ude reasons why a rev	iew is appropria	ite.				
The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co			ate of the Notice of Appeal or from s been received.				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from core		im(s) is as follov	vs:				
3. ☐ Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time.							
4. ☐ <b>Reopen Prosecution</b> – A capacition will be mailed. No further a							
All participants:							
(1) /AMY J. STERLING/.		(3)/Marc Jimen	<u>ez/</u> .				
(2) /Allen Shriver/.		(4)					
See MPEP 2112.01 where structure recited is substantially identical to th claims, the claimed	The load path is cons function of the claim	idered a	The claimed structure is identical to the cited references.				